arXiv:1403.6817v2 [math.RA] 31 Mar 2014

Twisted graded Hecke algebras for homocyclic groups

Wee Liang Gan and Matthew Highfield

Abstract

We give a simple proof that the twisted graded Hecke algebra for a homocyclic group has a PBW basis, and we determine explicitly the center of the algebra. Our results are a generalization of formulas by M. Douglas and B. Fiol in their paper "D-branes and Discrete Torsion II".

1 Main results

By a homocyclic group, we mean a direct product of cyclic groups of the same order. In this paper, we study the twisted graded Hecke algebras H associated to an action of the homocyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$. These algebras were introduced by S. Witherspoon in [W1]; they are variants of the graded Hecke algebras of V. Drinfeld [Dr] and G. Lusztig [Lu] (see also [RS]) and twisted symplectic reflection algebras of T. Chmutova [Ch]. We give a simple proof that H has a Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) basis. We also show that the center of H is generated by $n+1$ elements subject to one relation, which we determine explicitly. This paper results from our attempt to understand the formulas by M. Douglas and B. Fiol in their paper [DF] on $\mathbb{C}^3/(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$ orbifolds with discrete torsion; we interpret and generalize their formulas in terms of the twisted graded Hecke algebra H.

We shall work over $\mathbb{C}$. Let n be an integer ≥ 3, and ℓ an integer ≥ 2. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be the standard basis of V. Let G be the subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all diagonal matrices g satisfying $g^\ell = 1$. Let ζ be a primitive ℓ-th root of unity. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, let g_i be the element of G such that

$$g_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} \zeta x_j, & \text{if } j = i, \\ \zeta^{-1} x_j, & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ x_j, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that we have an isomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ defined by sending $(1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ to $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$, respectively. We shall write g_n

for the element $g_1^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1}$ of G. One has

$$g_n(x_j) = \begin{cases} \zeta x_j, & \text{if } j = n, \\ \zeta^{-1} x_j, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ x_j, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Define the 2-cocycle $\alpha : G \times G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ of G by

$$\alpha(g_1^{i_1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}, g_1^{j_1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}) = \zeta^{-i_1 j_2 - i_2 j_3 - \cdots - i_{n-2} j_{n-1}}.$$

If E is an algebra, an action of G on E is a homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(E)$. Recall that for any algebra E and an action of G on E, one has the crossed product algebra $E\#_\alpha G$. As a vector space, $E\#_\alpha G$ is $E \otimes \mathbb{C}G$; the product is defined by

$$(r \otimes g)(s \otimes h) = \alpha(g, h) r(g \cdot s) \otimes gh$$

for all $r, s \in E$ and $g, h \in G$. If $g, h \in G$, then we shall denote their product in $E\#_\alpha G$ by $g * h$; thus,

$$g * h = \alpha(g, h) gh.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i+1} * g_i &= \zeta g_i * g_{i+1} \quad \text{if } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \\ g_1 * g_n &= \zeta g_n * g_1, \\ g_i * g_j &= g_j * g_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| \notin \{1, n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and write TV for the tensor algebra of V. Following [W1, Example 2.16], we make the following definition.

Definition 1.1. *Let* H *be the associative algebra defined as the quotient of* $TV\#_\alpha G$ *by the relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_{i+1} - x_{i+1} x_i &= t_i g_i \quad \textit{if } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}, \\ x_n x_1 - x_1 x_n &= t_n g_n, \\ x_i x_j - x_j x_i &= 0 \quad \textit{if } |i - j| \notin \{1, n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call H a twisted graded Hecke algebra for G. (When $n > 3$ and $\ell = 2$, this is not the most general twisted graded Hecke algebra for G; see [W1, Example 2.16] and [W2, Example 5.1]). We shall set

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= \frac{t_i}{\zeta - 1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n-1; \\ \tau_n &= \frac{\zeta t_n}{\zeta - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \dots, y_n^{\pm}]$ be the algebra of Laurent polynomials in the variables $y_1, \dots, y_n$. The group G acts on $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \dots, y_n^{\pm}]$ by

$$g_i y_1^{p_1} \cdots y_n^{p_n} = \zeta^{p_i - p_{i+1}} y_1^{p_1} \cdots y_n^{p_n}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.2. *There is an injective homomorphism*

$$\Theta : \mathsf{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \dots, y_n^{\pm}] \#_{\alpha} G$$

such that

$$\Theta(x_i) = y_i - \zeta \tau_i y_{i+1}^{-1} g_i, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\Theta(x_n) = y_n - \tau_n y_1^{-1} g_n, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\Theta(g_i) = g_i, \tag{1.5}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Let

$$I = \{\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \mid k \geq 0;\ i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, ..., n\}\},$$
$$J = \{\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \in I \mid |i_r - i_s| \notin \{1, n-1\} \text{ for all } r, s\}.$$

Define the elements $\delta, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by

$$\delta = (1, 1, ..., 1), \quad \varepsilon_1 = (1, 1, 0, ..., 0), \quad \varepsilon_2 = (0, 1, 1, ..., 0), \dots$$
$$\dots, \quad \varepsilon_n = (1, 0, ..., 0, 1).$$

For any non-negative integers $p_1, \dots, p_n$, we write

$$x^{(p_1, \dots, p_n)} = x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} \in \mathsf{H}.$$

We shall call $p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ the total degree of a monomial of the form $x^{(p_1, \dots, p_n)} g$ for any $g \in G$. Define the element $w \in \mathsf{H}$ by

$$w = \sum_{\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \in J} \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_k} x^{\delta - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_{i_k}} g_{i_1} * \cdots * g_{i_k}. \tag{1.6}$$

Example 1.7. (i) If $n = 3$, then

$$w = x_1 x_2 x_3 + \tau_1 x_3 g_1 + \tau_2 x_1 g_2 + \tau_3 x_2 g_3.$$

In particular, if $n = 3$ and $\ell = 2$, the formula for w is in [CGW, Lemma 7.1].

(ii) If $n = 4$, then

$$w = x_1x_2x_3x_4 + \tau_1x_3x_4g_1 + \tau_2x_1x_4g_2 + \tau_3x_1x_2g_3 + \tau_4x_2x_3g_4 + \tau_1\tau_3g_1 * g_3 + \tau_2\tau_4g_2 * g_4.$$

Theorem 1.8. *The center of* H *is generated as an algebra by* x_1^ℓ, ..., x_n^ℓ, *and* w.

Let Z be the center of H. For $r = 0, \dots, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, set

$$\nu_r = (-1)^r \frac{\ell}{\ell - r} \binom{\ell - r}{r},$$

and set

$$\widetilde{\tau}_i = \tau_i^\ell \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \qquad \widetilde{\tau}_n = (-1)^{n(\ell-1)}\tau_n^\ell.$$

We define a polynomial F in the $n+1$ variables a_1, ..., a_n and b by

$$F = \sum_{\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \in J} \widetilde{\tau}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{\tau}_{i_k} a^{\delta - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_{i_k}} - \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{nr} \zeta^{(n-2)r} \nu_r (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n)^r b^{\ell - 2r}, \quad (1.9)$$

where we write $a^{(p_1, \dots, p_n)} = a_1^{p_1} \cdots a_n^{p_n}$ for any non-negative integers $p_1, \dots, p_n$.

Corollary 1.10. *The assignment*

$$a_i \mapsto x_i^\ell \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \qquad b \mapsto w \quad (1.11)$$

defines an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_n, b]/(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Z}. \quad (1.12)$$

In the undeformed case, when $t_1 = \cdots = t_n = 0$, the polynomial F is equal to $a_1 \cdots a_n - b^\ell$.

Remark 1.13. In the $n = 3$ case, our formulas (1.3)–(1.5) are similar to [DF, (4.6)], and our equation (1.9) is equivalent to [DF, (4.7)].

We give the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 2. The proofs of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.10 are given in Section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

For any $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $g \in G$, we let

$$y^p = y_1^{p_1} \cdots y_n^{p_n} \in \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \dots, y_n^{\pm}],$$

and we say that the monomial $y^p g \in \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \dots, y_n^{\pm}] \#_\alpha G$ has total degree $p_1 + \cdots + p_n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, we define $\Theta(x_i)$, $\Theta(x_n)$, and $\Theta(g_i)$ by (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5). To see that this defines a homomorphism from H to $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \dots, y_n^{\pm}] \#_\alpha G$, we need to verify the following equations:

$$\Theta(x_i)\Theta(x_{i+1}) - \Theta(x_{i+1})\Theta(x_i) = t_i g_i \quad \text{if } i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Theta(x_n)\Theta(x_1) - \Theta(x_1)\Theta(x_n) = t_n g_n, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\Theta(x_i)\Theta(x_j) - \Theta(x_j)\Theta(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{if } |i-j| \notin \{1, n-1\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$g_i\Theta(x_i) = \zeta\Theta(x_i)g_i \quad \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$g_i\Theta(x_{i+1}) = \zeta^{-1}\Theta(x_{i+1})g_i 0 \quad \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$g_n\Theta(x_1) = \zeta^{-1}\Theta(x_1)g_n, \tag{2.6}$$

$$g_i\Theta(x_j) = \Theta(x_j)g_i \quad \text{if } |i-j| \notin \{1, n-1\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Let us check (2.1). Suppose $i < n-1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(x_i)\Theta(x_{i+1}) - \Theta(x_{i+1})\Theta(x_i) \\
=&(y_i - \zeta\tau_i y_{i+1}^{-1} g_i)(y_{i+1} - \zeta\tau_{i+1} y_{i+2}^{-1} g_{i+1}) \\
&- (y_{i+1} - \zeta\tau_{i+1} y_{i+2}^{-1} g_{i+1})(y_i - \zeta\tau_i y_{i+1}^{-1} g_i) \\
=&-\tau_i g_i + \zeta\tau_i g_i \\
=&t_i g_i.
\end{aligned}$$

If $i = n-1$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(x_i)\Theta(x_{i+1}) - \Theta(x_{i+1})\Theta(x_i) \\
=&(y_{n-1} - \zeta\tau_{n-1} y_n^{-1} g_{n-1})(y_n - \tau_n y_1^{-1} g_n) \\
&- (y_n - \tau_n y_1^{-1} g_n)(y_{n-1} - \zeta\tau_{n-1} y_n^{-1} g_{n-1}) \\
=&-\tau_{n-1} g_{n-1} + \zeta\tau_{n-1} g_{n-1} \\
=&t_{n-1} g_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now check (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(x_n)\Theta(x_1) - \Theta(x_1)\Theta(x_n)\\
=&(y_n - \tau_n y_1^{-1} g_n)(y_1 - \zeta\tau_1 y_2^{-1} g_1)\\
&- (y_1 - \zeta\tau_1 y_2^{-1} g_1)(y_n - \tau_n y_1^{-1} g_n)\\
=&-\zeta^{-1}\tau_n g_n + \tau_n g_n\\
=&\tau_n g_n.
\end{aligned}$$

We omit the verifications of (2.3)–(2.7), which are straightforward.

It remains to see that Θ is injective. Observe that H is spanned by the monomials $x_1^{p_1}\cdots x_n^{p_n} g$ for non-negative integers $p_1, \dots, p_n$ and $g \in G$. The image of $x_1^{p_1}\cdots x_n^{p_n} g$ under Θ is the sum of $y_1^{p_1}\cdots y_n^{p_n} g$ with terms of strictly smaller total degrees. Therefore, if $\alpha \in \mathsf{H}$ is nonzero, we can write it as a sum $\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\cdots$, where α_k is a linear combination of monomials $x_1^{p_1}\cdots x_n^{p_n} g$ with total degree k. If k is the maximal integer with α_k nonzero, then $\Theta(\alpha_k)$ is nonzero, and hence $\Theta(\alpha)$ is also nonzero. □

Remark 2.8. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that the monomials $x_1^{p_1}\cdots x_n^{p_n} g$ for non-negative integers $p_1, \dots, p_n$ and $g \in G$ form a basis for H; we call this the PBW basis of H. This was first proved in [W1, Example 2.16] using [W1, Theorem 2.10].

We have an increasing filtration on H defined by setting $\deg(x_i) = 1$ and $\deg(g) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $g \in G$. It is immediate from Remark 2.8 that the natural homomorphism $SV\#_\alpha G \to \mathrm{gr}\mathsf{H}$ is an isomorphism, where SV denotes the symmetric algebra on V, and $\mathrm{gr}\mathsf{H}$ denotes the associated graded algebra of H.

Remark 2.9. When $n = 3$, the algebra H is Morita equivalent to a deformed Sklyanin algebra S_{def} defined by C. Walton in [Wa, Def. IV.2]. More precisely, if $n = 3$ and

$$e = \frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} g_1^r,$$

one has $\mathsf{H}e\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{H}$ and $e\mathsf{H}e \cong S_{def}$ where the parameters for S_{def} (following the notations in [Wa, Def. IV.2]) are $a = 1$, $b = \zeta$, $c = d_i = 0$, and $e_i = -\zeta t_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$; this follows from the observation that setting

$$\phi_1 = x_1 g_2, \quad \phi_2 = x_2 g_3, \quad \phi_3 = x_3 g_1,$$

one has

$$\phi_1\phi_2 - \zeta\phi_2\phi_1 = \zeta t_1,$$
$$\phi_2\phi_3 - \zeta\phi_3\phi_2 = \zeta t_2,$$
$$\phi_3\phi_1 - \zeta\phi_1\phi_3 = \zeta t_3.$$

(See [DF, (3.10)].)

3 Proofs of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.10

The proof of (3.4) in the following lemma is the key calculation in this paper.

Lemma 3.1. (i) *One has:*

$$\Theta(x_i^\ell) = y_i^\ell - \tau_i^\ell y_{i+1}^\ell, \tag{3.2}$$
$$\Theta(x_n^\ell) = y_n^\ell - (-1)^{n(\ell-1)}\tau_n^\ell y_1^\ell, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

(ii) *One has:*

$$\Theta(w) = y_1 \cdots y_n + (-1)^n \zeta^{n-2} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n y_1^{-1} \cdots y_n^{-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. (i) To prove (3.2), we need to show that

$$\underbrace{(y_i - \zeta\tau_i y_{i+1}^{-1} g_i) \quad \cdots \quad (y_i - \zeta\tau_i y_{i+1}^{-1} g_i)}_{\ell} = y_i^\ell - \tau_i^\ell y_{i+1}^{-\ell}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $g_i y_i = \zeta y_i g_i$ and $g_i y_{i+1}^{-1} = \zeta y_{i+1}^{-1} g_i$, the product on the left hand side of (3.5) is a linear combination of $y_i^k y_{i+1}^{k-\ell} g_i^{\ell-k}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$. Moreover, the coefficient of $y_i^k y_{i+1}^{k-\ell} g_i^{\ell-k}$ in this linear combination is the same as the coefficient of u^k when we expand the product

$$(u - \zeta^\ell \tau_i)(u - \zeta^{\ell-1}\tau_i) \quad \cdots \quad (u - \zeta\tau_i) \tag{3.6}$$

in the polynomaial ring $\mathbb{C}[u]$. Since the polynomial in (3.6) is equal to $u^\ell - \tau_i^\ell$, the identity (3.2) follows. The proof of (3.3) is similar except that

$$\underbrace{g_n * \cdots * g_n}_{\ell} = (-1)^{n(\ell-1)}.$$

(ii) For any $h_* = \{h_1 < \cdots < h_j\} \in I$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} h'_* &= \{h_r \in h_* \mid h_s - h_r \in \{1, 1-n\} \text{ for some } s\}, \\ \chi(h_*) &= |\{h_r \in h'_* \mid h_r \neq n\}| - |\{h_r \in h'_* \mid h_r = n\}|, \\ E(h_*) &= \zeta^{\chi(h_*)} \tau_{h_1} \cdots \tau_{h_j} y^{\delta - \varepsilon_{h_1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_{h_j}} g_{h_1} * \cdots * g_{h_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for any $i_* = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \in J$, we have

$$\Theta(\tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_k} x^{\delta - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_{i_k}} g_{i_1} * \cdots * g_{i_k}) = \sum_{\{h_* \in I \mid i_* \subset h_* - h'_*\}} (-1)^{|h_*| - |i_*|} E(h_*).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(w) &= \sum_{\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \in J} \Theta(\tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_k} x^{\delta - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_{i_k}} g_{i_1} * \cdots * g_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{i_* \in J} \left(\sum_{\{h_* \in I \mid i_* \subset h_* - h'_*\}} (-1)^{|h_*| - |i_*|} E(h_*) \right) \\ &= \sum_{h_* \in I} \left(E(h_*) \sum_{i_* \subset h_* - h'_*} (-1)^{|h_*| - |i_*|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $|h_*| = n$, then $h'_* = h_*$. If $|h_*| \notin \{0, n\}$, then $h'_* \neq h_*$. Therefore,

$$E(h_*) \sum_{i_* \subset h_* - h'_*} (-1)^{|h_*| - |i_*|} = \begin{cases} y_1 \cdots y_n & \text{if } |h_*| = 0, \\ (-1)^n \zeta^{n-2} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n y_1^{-1} \cdots y_n^{-1} & \text{if } |h_*| = n, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.8. It is easy to see that the center of $SV \#_\alpha G$ is the algebra of G-invariant elements $(SV)^G$ of SV, and moreover, the algebra $(SV)^G$ is generated by x_i^ℓ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and $x_1 \cdots x_n$.

Using Lemma 3.1, we see that $\Theta(x_i^\ell)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and $\Theta(w)$ are in the center of $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm}, \ldots, y_n^{\pm}] \#_\alpha G$. Since the homomorphism Θ is injective, the elements x_i^ℓ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and w are in the center of H. Since the principal symbols of $x_1^\ell, \ldots, x_n^\ell$ and w in $SV \#_\alpha G$ are, respectively, $x_1^\ell, \ldots, x_n^\ell$ and $x_1 \cdots x_n$, the theorem follows from a standard associated argument. □

Remark 3.7. When $n = 3$, the formulas in (3.2)–(3.4) are equivalent to [DF, (4.8)].

Remark 3.8. One can also verify by a direct computation (without using Lemma 3.1) that the elements x_i^ℓ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and w are in the center of H. This is easy to check for x_i^ℓ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$, but it is very tedious for w.

Proof of Corollary 1.10. Let $\widetilde{a}_1 = \Theta(x_1^\ell)$, ..., $\widetilde{a}_n = \Theta(x_n^\ell)$, and $\widetilde{b} = \Theta(w)$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{a}_i &= y_i^\ell - \widetilde{\tau}_i y_{i+1}^{-\ell} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n-1, \\ \widetilde{a}_n &= y_n^\ell - \widetilde{\tau}_n y_1^{-\ell}, \\ \widetilde{b} &= y_1 \cdots y_n + (-1)^n \zeta^{n-2} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n y_1^{-1} \cdots y_n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By a calculation completely similar to the proof of (3.4), one has

$$\sum_{\{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \in J} \widetilde{\tau}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{\tau}_{i_k} \widetilde{a}^{\delta - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \cdots - \varepsilon_{i_k}} = (y_1 \cdots y_n)^\ell + (-1)^{n\ell} (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n)^\ell (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-\ell}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\widetilde{a}^p = \widetilde{a}_1^{p_1} \cdots \widetilde{a}_n^{p_n}$ for any non-negative integers $p_1, \ldots, p_n$. We claim that we also have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{nr} \zeta^{(n-2)r} \nu_r (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n)^r \widetilde{b}^{\ell - 2r} = (y_1 \cdots y_n)^\ell + (-1)^{n\ell} (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n)^\ell (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-\ell}. \quad (3.10)$$

To see this, recall that the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are defined recursively by:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(\xi) &= 1, \\ T_1(\xi) &= \xi, \\ T_m(\xi) &= 2\xi T_{m-1}(\xi) - T_{m-2}(\xi) \quad (m = 2, 3, \ldots). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known (and can be easily proved by induction) that

$$2T_\ell \left(\frac{\xi}{2} \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \nu_r \xi^{\ell - 2r}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$2T_\ell \left(\frac{\xi + \xi^{-1}}{2} \right) = \xi^\ell + \xi^{-\ell}. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), one has the identity

$$\xi^\ell + \xi^{-\ell} = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \nu_r (\xi + \xi^{-1})^{\ell - 2r},$$

and hence the identity

$$\xi^\ell + \varrho^{2\ell}\xi^{-\ell} = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} \nu_r \varrho^{2r} (\xi + \varrho^2 \xi^{-1})^{\ell - 2r} \tag{3.13}$$

where ξ and ϱ are formal variables. By setting $\xi = y_1 \cdots y_n$ and choosing ϱ to be a square-root of $(-1)^n \zeta^{n-2} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n$, we obtain (3.10).

By Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.8, and the equations (3.9) and (3.10), the assignment (1.11) defines a surjective homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}[a_1, \ldots, a_n, b] \to \mathsf{Z}$$

such that $\Phi(F) = 0$. Suppose $D \in \mathbb{C}[a_1, \ldots, a_n, b]$ and $\Phi(D) = 0$. We can write

$$D = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} D_r(a_1, ..., a_n) b^r + R$$

where $D_r(a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{C}[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ for $r = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$, and $R \in (F)$. Thus,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} D_r(x_1^\ell, ..., x_n^\ell) w^r = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

We claim that $D_r(a_1, ..., a_n) = 0$ for all r. Suppose not; then let m be the maximal integer such that $D_m(a_1, ..., a_n) \neq 0$. Let $x_1^{\ell p_1} \cdots x_n^{\ell p_n}$ be a monomial in $D_m(x_1^\ell, ..., x_n^\ell)$ with nonzero coefficient. Since $0 \leq m < \ell$, when we write the left hand side of (3.14) in terms of the PBW basis, the coefficient of $x_1^{\ell p_1 + m} \cdots x_n^{\ell p_n + m}$ is nonzero, a contradiction. Hence, the kernel of Φ is (F). This proves (1.12). □

References

[CGW] A. Caldararu, A. Giaquinto, S. Witherspoon, *Algebraic deformations arising from orbifolds with discrete torsion*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 187 (2004), no. 1-3, 51–70, `arXiv:math/0210027`.

[Ch] T. Chmutova, *Twisted symplectic reflection algebras*, arXiv:math/0505653.

[DF] M. Douglas, B. Fiol, *D-branes and discrete torsion II*, J. High Energy Phys. (2005), no. 9, 053, arXiv:hep-th/9903031.

[Dr] V. Drinfeld, *Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 20 (1986), 58–60.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989), no. 3, 599–635.

[RS] A. Ram, A. Shepler, *Classification of graded Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), no. 2, 308–334, arXiv:math/0209135.

[Wa] C. Walton, *On degenerations and deformations of Sklyanin algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, 2011.

[W1] S. Witherspoon, *Twisted graded Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra 317 (2007), no. 1, 30–42, arXiv:math/0506152.

[W2] S. Witherspoon, *Skew derivations and deformations of a family of group crossed products*, Comm. Algebra 34 (2006), no. 11, 4187–4206, arXiv:math/0506154.

University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA;
wlgan@math.ucr.edu

Pepperdine University, Malibu, CA 90263, USA;
matthew.highfield@pepperdine.edu